

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,711	12/30/2003	Martin Brox	1890-0030	2105	
7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER			
Maginot, Moore & Beck LLP			LUU,	LUU, AN T	
Chase Tower Suite 3250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111 Monument Circle			2816		
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5109			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,711	BROX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		An T. Luu	2816			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		·			
Period fo	· 1					
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used to be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	arch 2006				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16,19-22,25,26,28 and 34-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16,19-22,25,26,28 and 34-38</u> is/are rejected.					
	<u> </u>					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
_	·		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* ' '	• •			
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the correction is objected to by the Extended to but					
	•	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		· .			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	` ''				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	•					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
- sport to(o)/thair bate						

Application/Control Number: 10/748,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed on 3-20-06 has been received and entered in the case. The rejections set forth in the previous Office Action are maintained as indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 15, 16, 19-22, 25-26, 28 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As to independent claims 15 and 22, the limitations "a low frequency delay element" and "a high frequency delay element" are not disclosed in the specification and/or drawings.

Passages, cited by Applicant to support newly added limitations (i.e., first para. of REMARK page 7), merely indicate a delay line can be operable in both high and low frequencies. There is no specific teaching of a structure of a delay circuit to include "a low frequency delay element" and "a high frequency delay element" limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15, 19-22, 25-26, 34 and 36-38, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Miyamoto reference (US Patent 6,586,978) in view of the Dortu et reference (US Patent 6,229,364).

Miyamoto discloses in figure 10 an apparatus comprising a delay device comprising a first delay element 403 and a second delay element 402, wherein the first delay element is configured to generate a first output D responsive to a control signal (output of 407) and a first input C, and wherein the second delay element is configured to generate the first input responsive to the externally generated clock signal CLK and a set signal (output of 405) related to the frequency of the externally generated clock signal, a feedback device (404, 406) operably connected to the first delay element and configured to generate a time delayed first output B, the feedback device operable to delay the first output by an amount substantially equal to a receiver time delay d2 plus a driver time delay d1, a phase difference detection 407 device configured to generate signal responsive to the phase difference between the time delayed first output and the externally generated clock signal, and a frequency detection 405 unit configured to generate the set signal responsive to the frequency of the externally generated clock signal as required by claim 15.

Miyamoto does not disclose the second delay element comprises a low frequency delay element for lower frequencies of the externally generated clock signal and a high frequency delay element for higher frequencies of the externally generated clock signal, wherein the low

Application/Control Number: 10/748,711

Art Unit: 2816

frequency delay element and the high frequency delay element are configured for operation at different frequency ranges of the externally generated clock signal.

Dortu discloses in figure 9 and associated description a delay circuit 400 permitting delaying both low and high frequency ranges of an externally generated clock signal IN. It is noted that the limitation "wherein the low frequency delay element and the high frequency delay element are configured for operation at different frequency ranges of the externally generated clock signal" is seen as operational characteristic and/or result derived from the above delay circuit.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace a Miyamoto's delay circuit with a delay circuit taught by Dortu since Dortu's delay circuit would improve operational frequency ranges in Miyamoto's invention.

As to claim 19, figure 5 discloses the delay device comprising a controllable variable capacitor element (i.e., 54a controlled by 53a).

As to claims 20 and 21, figure 3 discloses the delay device comprising a controllably variable current inverter 31 and 32. It is noted that inverters 1 and 32 are in chain connection.

As to claims 22 and 25-26, they are rejected for reciting a method derived from the apparatus of claim 15 which is rejected as noted above.

As to claim 34, figure 10 shows the frequency detection unit 405 is operable to generate the set signal independent of the first output signal.

As to claims 36-38, the scopes of these claims are similar to that of claims 19-21. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason set forth above.

5. Claims 16, 28 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Miyamoto reference (US Patent 6,586,978) in view of the Dortu et reference (US Patent 6,229,364) and further in view of the Li et al reference (US Patent 6,208,183).

The combination of Miyamoto and Dortu discloses a delay locked loop comprising all the claimed invention except for teaching a filter circuit coupled between the phase detector and the delay element as required by claim 16.

Li discloses in figure 2 a delay locked loop 100 comprising a filter circuit 106 coupled between the phase detector 102 and the delay element 110 as required by the claim.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of filter in Li into the combination of Miyamoto and Dortu since the filter would remove out-of-band and/or interfering signals.

As to claims 28 and 35, the scopes of these claims are similar to that of claim 16. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason set fort above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/748,711

Art Unit: 2816

final action.

Page 6

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu 3-30-06 ATV

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800